



REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

The regular meeting of the Economic Development Authority (EDA), of Stafford County, Virginia, was held at the George L. Gordon Jr. Government Center, 1300 Courthouse Rd, Stafford VA 22554 on Friday, April 17, 2026.

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

- a. Mr. Wilson, Vice Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:00 AM, emphasizing the necessity for all members and staff to declare any conflicts of interest with the agenda items. Mr. Burton disclosed a conflict of interest with Item 8b, The Healing Station.

A quorum was present and accounted for.

Members

Jack Rowley, Chair [absent]
Marlon Wilson, Vice Chair
Jeff Roosa, Secretary
Dave Burton, Treasurer
Frank Porcelli
Daryl Weedeman [departed 11:00 AM]
Janette Holland

ED/EDA Staff Present

Donna Krauss
Liz Barber
Linzy Browne
Jonathan Lindsley
Savannah Wimbush
Lauren Micklish
Shelley Kasten [virtual]
Logan Brunette, Esq.

Also Present

Anand Rao (University of Mary Washington)
Joe Caliri (Fredericksburg Military Alliance Council)
Kia Silth (Morgan Stanley)
Thomas Matthews (Morgan Stanley)
Kevin White (Morgan Stanley)
Kristen Maxon (member of the public)



2. ADD-ON ITEMS

- a. Slideshow for MWHC Bond Issuance for Item 10A: Mr. Roosa motioned to accept the addition of the Slideshow for MWHC Bond Issuance for Item 10A to the agenda. Mr. Burton seconded and the motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 6-0**

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- a. March 20, 2026, Regular Meeting Minutes: Mr. Wilson asked if anyone had any changes, additions or corrections to the March 20, 2026, minutes. There were no changes presented. Mr. Roosa made a motion to approve the minutes. Mr. Weedeman seconded. The motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 6-0**

4. TREASURERS REPORT

- a. March Financials: Ms. Kasten reported that March was a relatively normal month. The EDA had \$133,046.34 total cash as of March 31. She reported that the EDA received about \$3,000 in interest. She highlighted that all loans were normal. Ms. Kasten reported that the EDA's total assets were \$1,387,011.37. She stated that the EDA's total liabilities were at \$99,270.11, highlighting that the increase was due to their grant to Micah Ministries. She noted that the EDA had received \$2,000 from Germanna and Ms. Browne clarified that the money was for Germanna's sponsorship of the Business Appreciation Reception. Mr. Burton motioned to approve the March Financials, Mr. Roosa seconded and the motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 6-0**

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS

- a. UMW Professor Dr. Rao- AI and Efficiencies in Economic Development & Business Practice: Dr. Rao introduced himself to the EDA members, noting that he was a long-time Stafford resident and was excited at the opportunity to partner with Stafford's EDA. He highlighted that he had not always been thinking about AI, seeing that his background was in communications. He explained that the Center for AI and the Liberal Arts at University of Mary Washington had launched last August and was focused on addressing concerns about AI disrupting education and jobs. The program helped students prepare for an AI-centered world, highlighting AI literacy and preparing students for using AI in the workplace. Dr. Rao explained that the program also assisted faculty members in adapting to the use of AI in their coursework. He informed the members that the final focus of the program was to support public debate on the topic of AI.

Dr. Rao discussed how AI was being used in business, emphasizing the increase in efficiency. He mentioned the Business Acumen Series offered by UMW, that offers businesses the opportunity to learn the foundation of AI business practices and explore new AI tools. Dr. Rao noted that the Center is looking to offer an AI in Practice Certificate that was pending approval at the University and free Intro to AI and Communication in AI courses. Mr. Roosa asked if the courses would be remote or on-site and Dr. Rao declared that the goal would be to offer both online and in-person courses, with some being offered

in an asynchronous format. He emphasized the importance of human skills with the injection of AI in many industries. In his time teaching, his goal had been to prepare students for entry level jobs, however, he said, that the expectation had changed to a higher-level skill set. Dr. Rao considered how to work AI into the use of the 3 E's Framework: Enrollment, Employment, and Enlistment, reiterating the importance of an AI Certificate beyond a four-year degree. Mr. Wilson asked if AI courses would eventually become mandatory for some degrees. Dr. Rao explained that AI courses were incorporated into some degrees based on relevance. He provided an example of incorporating AI teachings into 9th grade English courses and emphasized the need to give students incentives not to cheat using AI. He stated that students would need to bring other skills to a job to stand out in applicant pools.

Mr. Porcelli asked about the Center for AI's collaboration with the Computer Sciences Department and wondered how faculty was enforcing students not using AI for coursework. Dr. Rao discussed the Center's collaboration with Computer Sciences in the way of a research project that used AI to identify misinformation. Regarding cheating with AI, Dr. Rao considered that different professors would have different methods, noting that some courses allow and encourage the use of AI for the appropriate lessons. He noted that generally, the University used a student-led Honor Code to dissuade cheating with AI. Mr. Porcelli suggested using AI detection software. Dr. Rao warned that those programs cannot definitively say whether AI was used or not. He expressed his concern with those tools, stating that false positive results could target a student that may not excel at writing. He assured the members that students were engaged and would like to learn.

Dr. Rao presented opportunities for collaboration with the EDA. These included AI Pitch Competitions or Hackathons, internships, and creating a formalized workforce pipeline. Mr. Roosa considered the intersection the SCPS Pathways program could have with Dr. Rao's Center for AI. He expressed enthusiasm at the impact a Hackathon, or a similar event, could have on Stafford's business community. Mr. Roosa highlighted that a Hackathon could address real issues that Stafford businesses were facing and asked Dr. Rao how the Center for AI was working toward helping small businesses. Dr. Rao expressed interest in working with the EDA to better connect with Stafford businesses. Mr. Porcelli recalled his experience with Hackathons, reiterating that they were used to find solutions to customer issues. Mr. Roosa supported the idea of collaboration with the EDA, Dr. Rao, and his students. Mr. Porcelli stated that the goal was to understand how AI would impact Stafford businesses. Mr. Roosa observed that AI was making jobs more efficient and thus, promoting business growth. Dr. Rao expressed enthusiasm about the opportunity to work with Stafford businesses through a partnership with the EDA. He discussed an event the Center had hosted the previous fall, explaining that students were given a problem to solve and they were able to find creative solutions with the use of AI. He suggested inviting local businesses to attend a similar event in the coming fall. Dr. Rao asked that the EDA members send him any ideas or suggestions for collaboration, and Mr. Roosa asked that communications go through the Economic Development staff. Dr. Rao was thanked for his presentation and Mr. Wilson requested that he come back in three months to provide updates and further discuss a partnership. Ms. Barber highlighted that Pitch Competitions were listed in item 1.3 in the Strategic Plan and appreciated the connection with Dr. Rao.



She highlighted that Dr. Rao's partnership would prove advantageous once the EDA was ready to take on that initiative.

- b. Regional Military Affairs Council (MAC), Joe Caliri: Mr. Caliri introduced himself to the EDA members and discussed the background of the Fredericksburg MAC. He highlighted the \$9 billion impact that the military has through jobs, contracts, and local investments. Mr. Caliri explained that the MAC's approach had been very reactive in the past, especially in cases such as Dahlgren. He detailed the Council's experience with the threat of Dahlgren Base Realignment and Closure, explaining that they had to think about dividing the work between other agencies and brought the case to Congress. Mr. Caliri noted that since then, they have been focusing on being proactive. He explained that the MAC's goals for 2026 were to mobilize, advance, and connect with stakeholders.

Mr. Caliri listed some of the MAC's sponsors and discussed the importance of their relationships with stakeholders. He highlighted their vision of an innovative ecosystem, where all stakeholders were in communication. Mr. Caliri noted that the Council would like to collaborate with the EDA to support the MAC's efforts in achieving their 2026 goals. He reiterated that the partnership would help create an innovative ecosystem.

Mr. Roosa asked how the Council would advocate with the State and how they could bring in other businesses to help offset the county's \$26 million revenue gap in the tax abatement for disabled veterans. Mr. Caliri noted that the Council had not gotten involved in business recruitment, but he assured Mr. Roosa that their work was creating a good environment for businesses to be successful. He also noted that the Council worked with the State but had not reached out recently due to the Governor's recent transition. Mr. Roosa wanted to ensure the messaging was clear and that it was one of support, emphasizing that a veteran's disability is not their fault.

Mr. Burton asked what the roles and responsibilities of a sponsor would be. Mr. Caliri explained that sponsors get a seat at the table, open communications, and advertising. He highlighted that the MAC also provided an opportunity for businesses to network, which he found to be important, especially for small business partners. He reiterated the goal of building trust and relationships with the business community for positive collaboration. Mr. Roosa asked if Mr. Caliri had seen a relationship form between Dahlgren and Quantico. Mr. Caliri noted that the Bases needed to have open communications and had hopes that the relationship would grow soon. Mr. Weedeman asked if change in command impacted the Council's communication. Mr. Caliri explained that high turnover rates in the DoD had presented a challenge in relationship building, noting that the civilian force was more stable in that regard.



Mr. Wilson asked when the Council hosted events. Mr. Caliri noted that the Council did not have a regular schedule of events, but that events were hosted on an ad-hoc basis. He informed the Board of an event the Council would be hosting in May and expressed interest in hosting more consistent events in the future. Mr. Wilson noted that the EDA may be able to assist with events. Mr. Caliri welcomed the collaboration and reiterated the importance of communication with stakeholders.

Mr. Roosa asked if the Council engaged directly with Defense Acquisition. Mr. Caliri responded that they did not. Mr. Porcelli asked if they were working with Curry Roberts from the Fredericksburg Regional Alliance. Mr. Caliri explained that they had worked with Curry Roberts, but Tom Scott has now filled his role. Mr. Caliri was thanked for his time and presentation.

- c. Stafford EDA Marketing & Events Report: Ms. Browne began by updating the Board on the Business Appreciation Reception. She reminded them that the event would be held on Thursday, May 14 at UMW Stafford campus. She reported one of the few remaining steps was to order plaques and awards. Ms. Browne informed the members that there were 248 registrants so far and noted that she had extended an invitation to SCPS leadership. She also noted that the EDA would next hear from her about talking points for the event.

Ms. Browne reported that the Wine and Business event was coming up on Thursday, May 21, but two previously committed sponsors had backed out. She stated that if there were no sponsor in time, the EDA may need to cover the event costs. Mr. Roosa asked if there would be sponsorship opportunities with any of the businesses listed in Mr. Caliri's presentation. Ms. Browne stated that she was in the process of drafting an email to ask about sponsorship opportunities. The Board discussed the possibility of SimVentions sponsoring the event. She asked the EDA members to send any potential sponsors her way. She noted that the sponsors who backed out for the May Wine & Business event were interested in sponsoring the November Beer & Business event. Ms. Barber asked how the Board would like to proceed if a sponsor was not found by a certain date. The Board agreed that if a sponsor was not found, the Wine & Business event would be cancelled, noting that the Business Appreciation Reception would be held the same month. Ms. Browne declared that the deadline to secure a sponsor would be set for April 21 to allow her a month to promote the event.

- d. Stafford County Economic Development Report: Ms. Barber began by suggesting the EDA send Mr. Rowley and his family flowers due to the Chairman's and his wife's medical challenges. The members agreed. Mr. Roosa made a motion to send Mr. Rowley and his family flowers and Mr. Burton seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED 6-0



Ms. Barber reminded the Board members that the Economic Development & Tourism Office was under construction as a part of Phase 2 of the county's Lobby Remodel. She noted that the staff was working remotely, except for Ms. Micklish who was on campus each day. She cited not hearing anything from construction as good news and mentioned that the office would be under construction for another month and a half.

Mr. Roosa asked how the Tourism section of the Department had been doing. Ms. Barber stated they had been doing well, noting that the Tourism Strategic Plan Kickoff Meeting would be held later that day. She expressed her excitement for the Plan, explaining that it would provide necessary data and a baseline for the Department to work from.

- e. Members of the Public: Ms. Maxon addressed the Board with several concerns. She discussed an order in a March 24, 2026 work session that may have made marketing for the Beer and Business event more difficult. She highlighted her concern about the word cannibalism being mentioned at the February 25, 2026, Planning Commission Meeting. She noted that the Chair had said that the word had never been heard before in business relations. Ms. Maxon expressed her concern with Mr. Rowley noting the disparity between publicly available financial information in different localities in the EDA's March 20, 2026 Meeting. She asked how small businesses were supported in Stafford County and stated that there was no need to go to Congress over small businesses. Ms. Maxon highlighted her concern about data centers and AI creating a business environment that undervalues human connection and critical thinking. Mr. Wilson thanked Ms. Maxon for her time.

6. SECRETARY'S REPORT

Mr. Roosa stated that he had nothing to significant to report.

7. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Mr. Wilson noted that there was an SCPS Appreciation Reception coming up. Ms. Barber stated that she would forward the invitation with the location, date, and time to the members.

8. COMMITTEE AND WORKING GROUP REPORTS

- a. Loan Committee (Jack R., Janette H. Staff support- Liz)
 - i. Loan Report: Ms. Barber reported that all loan payments had been received with exception for Next Level Mosaic. She informed the Board that Dr. Galam Khan received the directive regarding future late fees and understood the ramifications.

Ms. Barber informed the Board of some new financing options for small businesses offered by Bridging Virginia. She noted that she would connect the Bridging Virginia team with Ms. Wimbush so she may refer businesses to them.



She highlighted that Bridging Virginia could assist businesses whose applications had been denied by the EDA.

- ii. Next Level Mosaic Updates: Ms. Brunette announced that Hirschler Fleisher, the EDA's legal counsel, had filed the complaint against Next Level Mosaic and her colleague, Anastasia, had sent the complaint to be served. She explained that Next Level Mosaic would have 21 days to respond to the complaint before they would move for the default judgement. She noted that they would provide updates when the complaint was received and if they received a response within the 21-day period.

b. Business Resources Committee (Daryl W., Staff support- Savannah)

i. Community-Based Organization (CBO) Grant Applications:

- a. The Healing Station: Mr. Weedeman presented his thoughts on the Healing Station's application. He said it was a very well written application and aligned with the EDA's goals. He emphasized the clearly established workforce pipeline and noted the need for accessible mental health services for our veteran population. Mr. Weedeman clarified that there were limited metrics on job creation but concluded that the metric may come as they begin their work. He stated that the request was for \$10,000 and his recommendation from the committee was to support the request.

Mr. Roosa asked if The Healing Station would be partnering with Veteran Affairs' Community Care Program, highlighting the gaps such as low pay and high workload. Mr. Weedeman said he was unsure and would be interested to know if that partnership had been established. Mr. Roosa asked if the description of their workforce development plan was in place or if it was a projection. Mr. Weedeman assumed the description was more of a projection, explaining that he was aware that the applicant had partnerships, but he was unaware of the extent of them. Ms. Wimbush disclosed that she had met with The Healing Station in August of 2025 and shared that they had established partnerships. She noted that their request was made to help bolster their program. Ms. Barber pointed to the slideshow provided that displayed the business' partnerships, memberships, and academic affiliations. Mr. Wilson asked how they could be assured that professionals were in place after the program concluded. Mr. Weedeman admitted he was unsure as this was a pilot program.



Mr. Roosa asked Ms. Barber if the applicant's program would align with the Department's Strategic Plan. Ms. Barber explained that while the applicant was not in a target sector, their program would support the Plan's workforce development goals. She noted that the likelihood of all professionals being placed within Stafford was low, but the impact may positively affect Stafford. Mr. Weedeman considered the impact on Stafford. Mr. Porcelli asked if the EDA could request a metric on how many professionals they predict would end up working in Stafford businesses. Mr. Weedeman reiterated that metrics may come throughout the program's duration. Ms. Barber reminded the members that the applicant would have to submit a close-out report during the post-grant period to the EDA as a condition of funding. Mr. Roosa pointed out that the applicant was sponsored by the Stafford Sheriff's Department. Mr. Weedeman motioned to approve the requested \$10,000 to The Healing Station. Mr. Porcelli seconded. Mr. Burton and Ms. Holland recused themselves from the vote due to their conflicts of interest. The motion passed. **APPROVED 4-0**

- c. Research Committee (Marlon W., Jack R.): Mr. Wilson did not have anything to report on.
- d. Technology Committee (Jeff R., Frank P.): Mr. Roosa and Mr. Porcelli did not have anything to report.

9. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Incentive Agreement Expense Agreement with County: Ms. Barber reported that there were no new updates, but the item would stay on the Agenda per Mr. Rowley's request.
- b. Strategic Plan Discussion: Ms. Barber recommended that the Board discuss this item if time allowed at the end of the meeting, otherwise the item would be tabled.
- c. Amendment to VABCC Grant (Previously Approved 02/20/26): Ms. Wimbush updated the Board that after informing the applicant of the EDA's choice to approve the VABCC grant on February 20, 2026, she realized their project was presented to the EDA with the wrong grant periods, and Ms. Wimbush was requesting a correction on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Roosa reiterated this and asked if applicants were able to submit a two-year request. Ms. Wimbush confirmed. Ms. Barber clarified that when the Department received the application, they thought it was a two-year program (\$12,500 in Year 1 and \$12,500 in Year 2. However, the request was for \$25,000 for 2026. She stated that there would need to be an amendment to the previous approval. She explained that the Board has two options: amend the time-period or cap their grant at \$12,500. Mr. Weedeman suggested that the EDA provide the final \$12,500 6 months into the project. Mr. Porcelli



clarified that the EDA's approval stated specifically that they would grant \$25,000 over a two-year period. Ms. Barber confirmed. Mr. Porcelli asked if it would be possible to have the applicant renew for the final \$12,500 in 2027. Ms. Barber confirmed that it would be possible to do so but reiterated that the applicant's original request was for one year. Mr. Weedeman suggested that the Board provide the final \$12,500 due to their misunderstanding of the original request. Ms. Barber noted that the program aligns with the Department's goals, highlighting that the program waives membership fees for businesses referred by the Department. Mr. Porcelli motioned to amend the approval to provide the final \$12,500 6 months from the initial award. Mr. Burton seconded and the motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 6-0**

10. NEW BUSINESS

- a. Consideration of MWHC Bond Issuance: Ms. Silth introduced herself, Mr. Matthews, and Mr. White. She explained that they were there, on behalf of Mary Washington Healthcare, to request that the EDA serve as a conduit issuer for up to \$98 million worth of bonds. She noted that these bonds would be used to refinance MWHC's 2016 series bonds. She explained that the 2016 series bonds were used to develop and construct Stafford Hospital. She indicated that the 2026 series bonds would be a limited obligation of the EDA, explaining that services would be paid with the revenue of Stafford Hospital. Ms. Silth noted that this would not be a debt of the EDA, the County, or the Commonwealth. Mr. White explained that since the Hospital would be refinancing the 2016 series bonds, it would be considered a new issue needing approval from the EDA. He noted that a new public hearing would have to occur and asked that the presentation be done at the EDA's May 15 meeting. He clarified that the new issue would be restructuring the maturity. Mr. Matthews weighed in, explaining the Pro Forma Annual Debt Service Graph provided in their presentation. He showed the members that matching the Hospital's maturity savings would extend the life of their debt carry. Mr. Roosa confirmed that the request was due to Mary Washington's debt coming to a plateau. Mr. Matthews noted that the change would save them about \$3 million a year.

Ms. Barber asked what the timeline was for the bond issuance and what they needed from the EDA. Mr. White stated that the bonds would be issued on June 15 so they would need approval by early June at the latest. He explained that there would be a pricing process through Morgan Stanley after approvals. Mr. Wilson considered that the EDA would need to discuss how to process the bond. Ms. Barber clarified that the purpose of the presentation was to determine if the EDA would be interested in going through this process. She highlighted that Mary Washington Healthcare was one of the top 5 employers in Stafford. Ms. Barber explained that after a public hearing, the item would go to the Board of Supervisors for their approval as well. Mr. Roosa considered that the action had been taken in 2016, the outcome benefited the community, and it did not

- have any impact on the EDA. The Board agreed that they were interested in following through with the process. Mr. Roosa asked if any action was needed at the time. Ms. Barber clarified that no action was needed until the next meeting and stated that she would work on the next steps with Ms. Silth, Mr. Matthews, and Mr. White.
- b. Economic Development Summit: Ms. Barber informed the Board that she, Mr. Rowley, Mr. Wilson, and Ms. Browne had met to discuss the details of the Economic Development Summit. Ms. Browne reported that she had a hold on the Holiday Inn & Conference Center for November 18. She explained that they had not decided on a time of day yet because they wanted to consider the audience they were trying to reach. Ms. Browne noted that the Economic Development Summit could be modeled after Alexandria's Summit the year prior, as suggested in the Strategic Plan. The Alexandria Economic Development Summit was made to build their Strategic Plan. She explained that Alexandria's event was held from 3-7pm and hosted an opening panel instead of a keynote speaker. She highlighted that Stafford's Summit would showcase their Strategic Plan rather than help build it, like in Alexandria. Mr. Burton asked why Alexandria decided to host their Summit from 3-7pm. Ms. Browne identified the reason for this as being Alexandria's target audience, which was composed of community members and businesses alike. She explained that as a part of Alexandria's Strategic Plan, the city was supposed to get an arena and there was some community upset due to that plan falling through. Their Summit acted as a response to that upset. Ms. Browne detailed the EDA's target audience as being educators, brokers, Stafford's top 250 employers, lenders, and developers. She noted that she would also like the Board's input on the agenda for the event and the budget, which she stated would be \$8,000-10,000. Mr. Wilson stated that he would like to host the event at a time that would allow the community to get involved. Mr. Burton asked if they had discussed the best time for the event. Ms. Browne suggested 8 AM-12 PM or 1-5 PM. Mr. Porcelli advised hosting the event in the morning as people are often more alert at that time. Ms. Browne noted that Mr. Rowley had said the same and Mr. Wilson agreed that morning would be best.

Ms. Browne noted that the keynote speaker at Alexandria's Summit, Mr. Kevin Kelley, was well received so she had reached out to ask if he could speak at Stafford's Economic Development Summit. He explained to Ms. Browne that his speech would not be appropriate for Stafford's target audience, and she noted that he would not fit into their budget. She asked if all the members approved of the budget and if she should secure sponsors for the event. Mr. Wilson affirmed that the budget was satisfactory and stated that he did not want Ms. Browne to get sponsors for the event.

Ms. Browne moved on to discuss the event agenda, explaining that it was modeled after Alexandria's agenda. She detailed that they would begin with opening remarks from



both the EDA and the Department. Following that, Ross Berlin of Fourth Economy (the county's strategic planning contractor) would discuss the department's Strategic Plan. She stated that the keynote speaker would present, noting that she had reached out to Elliot Eisenberg, who brings a comical overview of the economy, for a cost estimate. Mr. Roosa made a motion to approve the event's time, 8 AM-12 PM, and budget of up to \$10,000. Mr. Burton seconded and the motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 5-0**

- c. Choose Charities for AJGA Golf Tournament: Ms. Barber asked that the Board discuss and decide on charities for the AJGA Golf Tournament, pointing to the list provided. She noted that the listed charities had not been vetted, only provided for reference. Mr. Roosa asked what the deadline for the decision was. Ms. Barber expressed wanting a decision during the meeting but stated that it could be decided later if needed. She noted that the selected charities could be ones the EDA has worked with in the past, that align with what the EDA does, but they did not have to align.

Ms. Barber asked if Ms. Krauss had any recommendations or comments regarding the item. Ms. Krauss recommended that the EDA look at the organizations that the Board of Supervisors have supported in the past and interact with regularly. She stated that the County's list had over 40 organizations, noting that some would align and some would not. Mr. Roosa asked if Ms. Krauss could share the list. Ms. Krauss had Ms. Wimbush on the screen, pulled up the list and noted that the list was all the requests they had received so some had been denied funding from the County. Mr. Porcelli asked if Ms. Krauss would be able to provide the list for the EDA members. She agreed to have it sent to the members and noted that organizations that did not receive funding likely did not score well, or the agency had been dissolved.

Ms. Barber asked Ms. Brunette if there is a way the Board could make an unofficial decision before the next meeting and finalize it then. Ms. Brunette asked why she wanted an unofficial decision. Ms. Barber stated that she would like to notify the organizations once an unofficial decision was made. Ms. Brunette indicated that Ms. Barber could bcc the members in an email requesting their input on the matter and accept their suggestions via email. Mr. Roosa asked that Ms. Krauss share the organizations' application scores, recommending that the top 5 and bottom 5 scoring applications be shared and she agreed. Ms. Barber reiterated that the funded organizations had been vetted, recommending that the EDA look at those for a shorter list of options. Ms. Holland asked about a museum's request and Ms. Krauss clarified that their request had not been scored due to the museum being outside of Stafford.



11. ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Ms. Brunette did not have anything to report.

12. CLOSED SESSION

Mr. Roosa motioned to go into closed session. Mr. Burton seconded and the motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 5-0**

Ms. Brunette, representing EDA legal counsel, read into executive session, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A), subsection 5, as amended. All members who were present at the meeting were present at the executive session. As the Board came out of executive session, each member certified that no votes were taken while in executive session. Mr. Roosa made a motion to come out of closed session. Mr. Burton seconded. The motion passed unanimously. **APPROVED 5-0**

13. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Roosa made a motion to adjourn the meeting, Ms. Holland seconded. The motion passed unanimously **APPROVED 5-0**

Meeting adjourned at 11:27 AM.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jeff Roosa".

Jeff Roosa,

EDA Secretary